Graphs and spherical two–distance sets

Oleg R. Musin*

arXiv:1608.03392v2 [math.MG] 3 Jan 2017

Abstract

Any graph G can be embedded in a Euclidean space as a two–distance set with the minimum distance a if the vertices are adjacent and distance b otherwise. The Euclidean representation number of G is the smallest dimension in which G is representable. In this paper we also consider spherical and J–spherical representation numbers of G. We give exact formulas for these numbers using multiplicities of polynomials that are defined by the Caley–Menger determinant. We also show that using Kuperberg's theorem the representation numbers can be found explicitly for the join of graphs.

Throughout this paper we will consider only simple graphs, $\mathbb{R}^d$ will denote the d–dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathbb{S}^n$ will denote the n–dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $\mathrm{dist}(x,y) := ||x-y||$ will denote the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we shall denote the affine hull (or affine span) by $\mathrm{aff}(X)$, $\mathrm{rank}(X) := \dim \mathrm{aff}(X)$ and by $\mathrm{conv}(X)$ will denote the convex hull of X. For a finite set S by $|S|$ we will denote the cardinaliity of S.

1 Introduction

Let G be a graph on n vertices. Consider a *Euclidean representation of* G in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as a two–distance set. In other words, there are two positive real numbers a and b with $b \geq a > 0$ and an embedding f of the vertex set of G into $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}(f(u), f(v)) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } uv \text{ is an edge of } G \\ b & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We will call (after Roy [20]) the smallest d such that G is representable in $\mathbb{R}^d$ the *Euclidean representation number* of G and denote it $\mathrm{Erep}(G)$.

A complete graph K_n represents the edges of a regular $(n-1)$–simplex. So we have $\mathrm{Erep}(\mathrm{K}_n) = n-1$. That implies

$$\mathrm{Erep}(G) \leq n-1$$

for any graph G with n vertices. Moreover, Einhorn and Schoenberg [9] proved that $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n-1$ *if and only if* G *is a disjoint union of cliques.*

*This research is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS–1400876.

So we have a correspondence between graphs and two-distance sets. Let S be a two–distance set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with distances a and $b \geq a$. Denote by $\Gamma(S)$ a graph with S as the set vertices and edges $[pq]$, $p, q \in S$, such that $\mathrm{dist}(p, q) = a$. Then S is a Euclidean representation of $G = \Gamma(S)$.

Let S be a two–distance set of cardinality n in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, see [3, 7], we have

$$n \leq \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}.$$

(Lisoněk [11] shows that the bound is tight for $d = 8$.) This bound implies the following lower bound

$$\mathrm{Erep}(G) \geq \frac{\sqrt{8n+1}-3}{2}. \tag{1.1}$$

Actually, in [9, 18, 20] considered two Euclidean representation numbers $\mathrm{Erep}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Erep}(\bar{G})$, where $\bar{G}$ is the graph complement of G. These numbers can be different. For instance, let G be the disjoint union of m edges. Then $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = 2m-1$. On the other side, $\bar{G}$ is a complete multipartie graph $K_{2,\dots,2}$. It follows from [9, Theorem 2] or [20, Theorem 1] (see also [2]) that

$$\mathrm{Erep}(K_{2,\dots,2}) = m.$$

A minimal Euclidean representation of this graph is a regular m–dimensional cross–polytope.

Einhorn and Schoenberg [9] considered two–distance sets with n vertices in $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. In fact, they proved that for $d = \mathrm{Erep}(G) \leq n-2$ a Euclidean representation of G in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is uniquely define up to isometry.

Let $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be a two-distance set with distances $a = 1$ and $b > 1$. Let $d_{ij} := \mathrm{dist}(p_i, p_j)$. Consider the Cayley–Menger determinant

$$C_S := \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d_{12}^2 & \dots & d_{1n}^2 \\ 1 & d_{21}^2 & 0 & \dots & d_{2n}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & d_{n1}^2 & d_{n2}^2 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} \tag{1.2}$$

Since for $i \neq j$, $d_{ij} = 1$ or b, C_S is a polynomial in $t = b^2$. Denote this polynomial by $C(t)$.

Let τ_1 be a smallest root of $C(t)$, i.e. $C(\tau_1) = 0$, such that $\tau_1 > 1$. Einhorn and Schoenberg [9, Lemma 6] proved that if S embeds exactly in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then τ_1 is a root of $C(t)$ of exact multiplicity $n-d-1$.

Actually, in [9] is consired the discriminating polynomial $D(t)$ that can be defined through the Gram determinant. Since, see [6, Lemma 9.7.3.3],

$$C(t) = (-1)^n D(t)$$

these polynomials have the same roots.

It is clear that $C_G(t) := C(t)$ is uniquely defined by a graph G. So the Einhorn–Schoenberg theorem states:

$$\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - \mu - 1, \tag{1.3}$$

where μ is the multiplicity of τ_1. If for all roots t_* of $C_G(t)$ we have $t_* \le 1$, then we assume that $\mu = 0$.

For instance, if G is a complete m–partite graph $K_{2,\dots,2}$, then $n = 2m$ and

$$C_G(t) = 2m\, t^m (2-t)^{m-1}.$$

Therefore, $\tau_1 = 2$ and $\mu = m - 1$. Thus, $\mathrm{Erep}(K_{2,\dots,2}) = m$.

There is an obvious relation between polynomials $C_G(t)$ and $C_{\bar G}(t)$. Namely, $C_{\bar G}(t)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $C_G(t)$. If G or $\bar G$ is not a complete multipartite graph, then $\tau_0(G) := 1/\tau_1(\bar G)$ is a root of $C_G(t)$ and there are no more roots on the interval $I := [\tau_0(G), \tau_1(G)]$. Moreover, a two–distance set S with distances 1 and $\sqrt{t}$ is well–defined only if $t \in I$ [9].

In fact, if $\mathrm{Erep}(G) \le n-2$, then a minimal Euclidean representation is unique up isometry. Indeed, in this case we now all distances: $a = 1$ and $b = \sqrt{\tau_1}$.

Using this approach Einhorn and Schoenberg [9] enumerated all two-distance sets in dimensions two and three. In other words, they enumerated all graphs G with $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = 2$ and $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = 3$.

Note that Roy [20] found $\mathrm{Erep}(G)$ relies on certain values from the eigenspaces of graphs. Actually, these dimensions are closely related with the multiplicity of the smallest (or second smallest) eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$.

Nozaki and Shinohara [18] using Roy's results give a necessary and sufficient condition of a Euclidean representation of a graph G to be spherical. They also considered Euclidean representations of strongly regular graphs.

In this paper we consider spherical representations as a two–distance set. We define a polynomial $M_G(t)$ and show that a Euclidean representation is spherical if and only if the multiplicity of $\tau_1(G)$ is the same for $C_G(t)$ and $M_G(t)$ (Theorem 2.1). In this case for the spherical representation number we can use (1.3), see Corollary 2.1.

In Section 3 we define a J–spherical representation. It is a spherical representation in the unit sphere wit the first (minimum) distance $a = \sqrt{2}$. We give a necessary and sufficient conditions for a minimal Euclidean representation of G to be J–spherical (Theorem 4.2).

The Einhorn–Schoenberg theorem, Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 yield formulas for representation numbers. These results can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a graph on n vertices. We have*

1. $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - \mu(G) - 1$;
2. *if* $\mathcal{R}(G) < \infty$, *then* $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - \mu(G) - 1$, *otherwise* $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - 1$;
3. *if* $\mathcal{R}(G) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, *then* $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - \mu(G) - 1$, *otherwise* $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - 1$.

In fact, these numbers can be compute using only polynomials $C_G(t)$ and $M_G(t)$. Roy proved [20, Theorem 1] (see Corollary 5.1) using multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix. By the same way Nozaki and Shinohara [18] considered strongly regular graphs. For instance, they proved the following interesting fact: a graph G on n vertices is a strongly regular if and only if $\mathrm{Srep}(G) + \mathrm{Srep}(\bar{G}) + 1 = n$ [18, Theorem 4.5].

However, all our results in this paper are proved geometrically rely on geometric properties and interpretations of representation invariants. We think that it is an interesting problem to find geometric proofs for results from papers [18, 20].

In Section 4 we consider W. Kuperberg theorem on a set S in the unit sphere with the minimum distance between points of S at least $\sqrt{2}$ (Theorem 4.4). In fact, this theorem shows that S is the join of its subsets S_i. If S is a two–distance set, then S is a J–spherical representations. We found a version of Kuperberg's theorem for this case (Theorems 4.4 and 4.5).

In Section 5 we give explicit formulas for Euclidean, spherical and J–spherical representation numbers in the case when G is the graph join (Theorem 5.1). In particular, these formulas can be applied for complete multipartite graphs:

Corollary 5.1. *Let G be a complete multipartite graph $K_{n_1 \ldots n_m}$. Suppose*

$$n_1 = \ldots = n_k > n_{k+1} \geq \ldots \geq n_m.$$

Let $n := n_1 + \ldots + n_m$. Then

1. *If $k = 1$, then $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - 2$, otherwise $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - k$;*
2. *$\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - k$;*
3. *$\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - k$.*

Note that Statement 1 in Corollary 5.1 first proved by Roy [20, Theorem 1].

In Section 6 we consider several open problems that are related to representations of graphs.

2 Spherical representations of graphs

Let f be a Euclidean representation of a graph G with n vertices in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as a two distance set. We say that f is a *spherical representation of G* if the image $f(G)$ lies on a $(d-1)$–sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will call the smallest d such that G is spherically representable in $\mathbb{R}^d$ the *spherical representation number* of G and denote it $\mathrm{Srep}(G)$.

Representation numbers $\mathrm{Srep}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Erep}(G)$ can be different. In Section 4 we show that if G is a bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $m \neq n$, then

$$\mathrm{Erep}(K_{m,n}) = n + m - 2 < \mathrm{Srep}(K_{m,n}) = n + m - 1.$$

For a graph G on n vertices we obviously have

$$\mathrm{Erep}(G) \le \mathrm{Srep}(G) \le n-1 \tag{2.1}$$

Actually, for spherical representation numbers lower bound (1.1) can be a little bit improved. Delsarte, Goethals, and Seidel [8] proved that the largest cardinality of spherical two-distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded by $d(d+3)/2$. (This upper bound is known to be tight for $d = 2, 6, 22$.) That yields

$$\mathrm{Srep}(G) \ge \frac{\sqrt{8n+9}-3}{2}.$$

Note that this bound can be improved for some dimensions. Namely, we proved that

$$n \le \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \tag{2.2}$$

for $6 < d < 22$ and $23 < d < 40$ [15]. This inequality was extended for almost all $d \le 93$ by Barg & Yu [5] and for $d \le 417$ by Yu [21]. Recently, Glazyrin & Yu [10] proved (2.2) for all $d \ge 7$ with possible exceptions for some $d = (2k+1)^2 - 3$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be a set in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. As above $d_{ij} := \mathrm{dist}(p_i, p_j)$. Let

$$M_S := \begin{vmatrix} 0 & d_{12}^2 & \dots & d_{1n}^2 \\ d_{21}^2 & 0 & \dots & d_{2n}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ d_{n1}^2 & d_{n2}^2 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.3}$$

It is well known [6, Proposition 9.7.3.7], that if the points in S form a simplex of dimension $(n-1)$, the radius R of the sphere circumscribed around this simplex is given by

$$R^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{M_S}{C_S}. \tag{2.4}$$

(Here is C_S is defined by (1.2).)

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a graph with vertices $v_1, \dots, v_n$. Put $d_{ij} := 1$ if $[v_i v_j]$ is an edge of G, otherwise put $d_{ij} := b$. We denote by $C_G(t)$ and $M_G(t)$ the polynomials in $t = b^2$ that are defined by (1.2) and (2.3), respectively. Let*

$$F_G(t) := -\frac{1}{2}\frac{M_G(t)}{C_G(t)}.$$

Definition 2.2. *If $C_G(t)$ has a root $t_* > 1$, then by $\tau_1 = \tau_1(G)$ we denote a smallest root of C_G such that $\tau_1 > 1$. Let $\mu(G)$ denotes the multiplicity of τ_1 in C_G. If all roots $t_* \le 1$, then we put $\tau_1(G) = \infty$ and $\mu(G) := 0$.*

Denote by $\nu(G)$ the multiplicity of $\tau_1(G)$ in $M_G(t)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a graph.*

1. $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = \mathrm{Erep}(G)$ *if and only if* $\nu(G) = \mu(G)$.
2. *If* $\mathrm{Srep}(G) \neq \mathrm{Erep}(G)$, *then* $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n-1$, *or equivalently if* $\mathrm{Srep}(G) \leq n-2$, *then* $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = \mathrm{Erep}(G)$.

Proof. Denote by I_ε a small interval $[\tau_1-\varepsilon, \tau_1+\varepsilon]$ that does not contain other roots of C_G and M_G. Then for any t in I_ε, $t \neq \tau_1$, the Cayley–Menger determinant (1.2) is not zero. Therefore, it defines a Euclidean (spherical) representation f_t of G in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let $S_t := \{f_t(v_i)\}$, where v_i are the vertices of G. By (2.4) we have

$$F_G(t) = R^2(t),$$

where $R(t)$ is the radius of the sphere circumscribed around S_t.

From (2.1) it follows that $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n-1$ yields $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n-1$. If $\mathrm{Erep}(G) \leq n-2$, then $\mu(G) \geq 1$. Therefore, for $t = \tau_1$, (1.3) implies that S_t is embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{n-\mu-1}$.

Suppose $\mathrm{Srep}(G) \leq n-2$. Then (2.1) implies that $\mathrm{Erep}(G) \leq n-2$. In this case a minimal spherical representation of G is uniquelly defined by τ_1, S_{τ_1} is a spherical set and it lies on a sphere of radius $\rho > 0$. Then $R(t)$ and $F_G(t)$ are continuous functions in t that are well defined for all t in I_ε and $F_G(\tau_1) = \rho^2$. It is easy to see that the inequlality $F_G(\tau_1) > 0$ yields that the multiplicity of τ_1 in C_G and M_G are equal. Thus, we have $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = \mathrm{Erep}(G)$ and $\nu(G) = \mu(G)$. □

Remark 2.1. Nozaki and Shinohara [18] also give necessary and sufficient conditions of a Euclidean representation of G to be spherical. However, their conditions are more bulky. Namely, they used Roy's theorem (see [18, Theorem 2.4]) and show that among five types of conditions only three yield sphericality [18, Theorem 3.7].

Our proof of Theorem 2.1 implies the following result:

Theorem 2.2. *Let S be a spherical representation of a graph G with distances a and b, $b \geq a$. Then S lies on a sphere of radius $R = \sqrt{a^2 F_G(b^2/a^2)}$.*

This formula and Theorem 2.1 explain the following definition:

Definition 2.3. *If G is a graph with $\tau_1(G) < \infty$ and $F_G(\tau_1) < \infty$), then denote $\mathcal{R}(G) := \sqrt{F_G(\tau_1)}$. Otherwise, put $\mathcal{R}(G) := \infty$.*

We will call $\mathcal{R}(G)$ the (Euclidean representation) circumradius of G.

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 imply

Corollary 2.1. *Let G be a graph on n vertices. If $\mathcal{R}(G) < \infty$, then*

$$\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - \mu(G) - 1, \quad \textit{otherwise} \quad \mathrm{Srep}(G) = n-1.$$

Theorem 2.3. $\mathcal{R}(G) \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Let G be a graph on n vertices. By the definition if $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n-1$, then $\mathcal{R}(G) = \infty$.

Let S be a minimal spherical representation of G. If $\mathrm{Srep}(G) \le n-2$, then S lies in a sphere Ω in $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ of radius R. By the Rankin theorem [19] if $d+2$ points lie in a sphere of radius R in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then a ratio $a/R \le \sqrt{2}$, where a is the minimum distance between these points. Since $a = 1$, we have $\mathcal{R}(G) = R \ge 1/\sqrt{2}$. □

3 J–spherical representation of graphs

Theorem 2.3 states that $\mathcal{R}(G) \ge 1/\sqrt{2}$. In this section we consider the boundary case $\mathcal{R}(G) = 1/\sqrt{2}$. In the next section we show that this case are important for a W. Kuperberg's theorem for two–distance sets and to calculate representation numbers of the join of graphs, in particular, of multipartite graphs.

So we have a two–distance set X with distances $a = 1$ and $b > a$ such that the circumradius of X is $1/\sqrt{2}$. Actually, we will consider a set S that is similar to X with the scale factor $\sqrt{2}$. Therefore, S is a two-distance set with the first distance $a = \sqrt{2}$ that can be inscribed in the unit sphere.

Definition 3.1. *Let f be a spherical representation of a graph G in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as a two distance set. We say that f is a J–spherical representation of G if the image $f(G)$ lies in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and the first (minimum) distance $a = \sqrt{2}$.*

The existence of Euclidean and spherical representations for any graph G is obvious. However, to prove it for J–spherical representations is not very easy. Clearly, if G is a complete graph K_n, then this representation does not exist. We show that this is just one exceptional case and for any other G there is a J–spherical representation.

Notations. *Let G be a graph on n vertices.*
$I_G := \left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2\tau_1(G)}\right)$.
$S_G(x)$: *a two–distance set S in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with distances $a = \sqrt{2}$ and $b = x$ such that $\Gamma(S) = G$. (Here, as above, $\Gamma(S)$ is the graph with edges of length a.)*
$\Delta_G(x) := \mathrm{conv}\, S_G(x)$.
$\Phi_G(x)$: *the radius of the minimum enclosing ball of $S_G(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.*

Lemma 3.1. *If $x \in I_G$, then* $\mathrm{rank}\, S_G(x) = n-1$.

Proof. Since the Cayley–Menger determinant and the volume of a simplex are equal up to a constant and $C_G(x^2/2) \ne 0$ for $x \in I_G$, we have that $\Delta_G(x)$ is a simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of dimension $n-1$. Thus, $\mathrm{rank}\, S_G(x) = \dim \Delta_G(x) = n-1$. □

Lemma 3.2. *The function $\Phi_G(x)$ is increasing on I_G.*

Proof. The proof relies on the Kirszbraun theorem (see [12, 1]).[1] This theorem states that, *if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, then any Lipschitz function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ can be extended to the whole $\mathbb{R}^d$ keeping the Lipschitz constant of the original function.*

[1] The author thanks Arseniy Akopyan for the idea of this proof.

Let $\sqrt{2} \le y_1 < y_2 < \sqrt{2\tau_1(G)}$. Then by Lemma 3.1 $S_G(y_i) = \{v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in}\}$ is the set of vertices of an $(n-1)$–simplex $\Delta_G(y_i)$ that lies in the minimum enclosing ball $B(y_i)$ of radius $\Phi_G(y_i)$.

Let

$$h(v_{2k}) := v_{1k},\ k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then we have $h : S_G(y_2) \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. It is clear that the Lipschitz constant of h is equal to 1. By the Kirszbraun theorem h can be extended to $H : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with the same Lipschitz constant.

Let c_2 be the center of $B(y_2)$. For all $k = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(H(c_2), H(v_{2k})) = \operatorname{dist}(H(c_2), v_{1k}) \le \operatorname{dist}(c_2, v_{2,k}) \le \Phi_G(y_2).$$

Therefore, $H(c_2)$ is a point in $\Delta_G(y_1)$ such that all distances from $H(c_2)$ to vertices $S_G(y_1)$ does not exceed $\Phi_G(y_2)$. Then $\Phi_G(y_1) \le \Phi_G(y_2)$. □

. The next lemma follows from [14, Theorem 3] (or [4, Theorem 5]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let S be a set in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of cardinality $|S| \ge n$. Suppose the minimum distance between points of S is at least $\sqrt{2}$. If S lies in a sphere of radius $R \le 1$, then the sphere center $O \in \operatorname{conv}(S)$.*

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a graph on n vertices. Let $G \ne K_n$. Then for any $R : \sqrt{(n-1)/n} < R \le 1$ there is a unique $x \in I_G$ such that $S_G(x)$ lies on a sphere of radius R.*

Proof. Let $b_1 := \sqrt{2\tau_1(G)}$. First we prove that there is a solution of the equation $\Phi_G(x) = R$. Namely, we are going to prove that

$$\Phi_G(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{(n-1)/n} \le R \le 1 \le \Phi_G(b_1).$$

Indeed,it is clear that $\Phi_G(\sqrt{2})$ is the circumradius of a regular $(n-1)$–simplex, of side length $\sqrt{2}$. Then

$$\Phi_G(\sqrt{2})) = \frac{n-1}{n}.$$

Now we show that $\Phi_G(b_1) \ge 1$. In the case $b_1 = \infty$, it is clear that $\Phi_G(x)$ approaches ∞ as x approaches ∞.

Let $b_1 < \infty$. Then the Cayley–Menger determinant vanishes and $S_G(b_1)$ embeds in $\mathbb{R}^d$, where $d \le n-2$. By Theorem 2.3, $\sqrt{2}\mathcal{R}(G) \ge 1$. Therefore, if $\Phi_G(x) < 1$, then $x < b_1$.

(Equivalently, we have $n \ge d+2$ points with the minimum distance $\sqrt{2}$ in a ball of radius $\Phi_G(b_1)$. By Rankin's theorem [19] it is possible only if the radius $\Phi_G(b_1) \ge 1$.)

Thus, there is $b \in [\sqrt{2}, b_1]$ such that $\Phi_G(b) = R$.

Now we show that for $x \in [\sqrt{2}, b_1]$ a solution of the equation $\Phi_G(x) = R$ is unique. By Lemma 3.2 $\Phi_G(x)$ is increasing whenever x is increasing. However, we did not prove that $\Phi_G(x)$ is a strictly increasing function. Let $P(y_1) = R$ and $P(y_2) = R$, where $y_1 < y_2$.

Then $\Phi_G(x)$ is a constant on the interval $[y_1, y_2]$. Lemma 3.3 yields that for $x \in [y_1, y_2]$ the circumcenter of a simplex $\Delta_G(x)$ lies in this simplex.

It is well known that if the circumcenter of a simplex Δ is an internal point of Δ, then the minimum enclosing sphere is the circumsphere of Δ. Therefore, for this case we have

$$\Phi_G(x) = \sqrt{2F_G(t)},\ t = \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

Then $\Phi^2_G(x)$ is a rational function in x^2. It implies that $\Phi_G(x)$ cannot be a constant in $[y_1, y_2]$.

Note that the case of an empty graph, i.e. $G = \bar{K}_{1,\dots,1}$, is well–defined. If $R = 1$, then

$$b_* = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1}} > \sqrt{2}$$

and $S_G(b(1))$ is the set of vertices of a regular $(n-1)$–simplex of side length b. (In this case there are no edges of length $a = \sqrt{2}$.) If for $R < 1$ we take $b = Rb_*$, then it will be a unique solution of the equation $\Phi_G(x) = R$. □

This theorem for $R = 1$ yields

Corollary 3.1. *For any graph $G \neq K_n$ there is a unique (up to isometry) J–spherical representation.*

The uniqueness of a J–spherical representation shows that the following definition is correct.

Definition 3.2. *Let $f : G \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a J–spherical representation of G. We denote the image $f(G)$ by W_G and the dimension d by* $\mathrm{Jrep}(G)$.

Denote the second distance of W_G by $\beta_*(G)$.

Representation numbers $\mathrm{Jrep}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Srep}(G)$ can be different. For instance, if G is the pentagon, then

$$\mathrm{Srep}(G) = 2 < \mathrm{Jrep}(G) = 4.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) < n-1$ only if $\beta_*(G) = \sqrt{2\,\tau_1(G)}$. Moreover, since the circumradius of W_G is 1, we have to have $\mathcal{R}(G) = 1/\sqrt{2}$. That yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $G \neq K_n$ be a graph on n vertices. If $\mathcal{R}(G) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - \mu(G) - 1, \quad \textit{otherwise} \quad \mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - 1.$$

Let G be a graph on n vertices and $G \neq K_n$. From Rankin's theorem [19] and Theorem 3.2 follow

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq \mathrm{Jrep}(G) \leq n - 1.$$

If $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n/2$, then $G = K_{2,\dots,2}$ and a J–spherical representation of G is an n–dimensional regular cross–polytope.

4 Join of graphs and Kuperberg's theorem

4.1 W. Kuperberg's theorem.

Rankin [19] proved that if S is a set of $d+k$, $k \geq 2$, points in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then two of the points in S are at a distance of at most $\sqrt{2}$ from each other. Wlodzimierz Kuperberg [13] extended this result and proved that:

Theorem 4.1. *Let d and k be integers such that $2 \leq k \leq d$. If S is a $(d+k)$–point subset of the unit d–ball such that the minimum distance between points is at least $\sqrt{2}$, then: (1) every point of S lies on the boundary of the ball, and (2) $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be split into the orthogonal product $\prod_{i=1}^{k} L_i$ nondegenerate linear subspaces so that for $S_i := S \cap L_i$, $d_i := \dim L_i$ we have $|S_i| = d_i + 1$ and $\operatorname{rank}(S_i) = d_i$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., k)$.*

This theorem states that S is join–decomposable.

Definition 4.1. *The join $X * Y$ of two sets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is formed in the following manner. Embed X in the m–dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ as*

$$\{(x_1, \ldots, x_m, 0, \ldots, 0) : x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in X\}$$

and embed Y as

$$\{(0, \ldots, 0, y_1, \ldots, y_n) : y \in Y\}.$$

Geometrically the join corresponds to putting the two sets X and Y in orthogonal linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. So Kuperberg's theorem implies that $S = S_1 * \ldots * S_k$.

Actually, from a proof of Theorem 4.1 in [13] follows that $\operatorname{conv}(S_i)$ contains the center O of the unit d–ball. It is also follows from Lemma 3.3

Let $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ be a d–dimensional simplex, i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(S) = d$. We have two cases:
(i) O lies in the interior of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$;
(ii) O lies on the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$.

It is clear, that in Case (i) S is join–indecomposable. Consider Case (ii). Let S_1 be a minimal subset of S among such subsets whose convex hull contains O. Then [13, Proposition 6] yields that $S_2 := S \setminus S_1$ lies in the orthogonal complement of $\operatorname{aff}(S_1)$, i.e. $S = S_1 * S_2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let S be a subset of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with $|S| \geq d+1$ such that the minimum distance between points of S is at least $\sqrt{2}$. Suppose O lies on the boundary of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$. Then S is join–decomposable.*

This lemma shows that Kuperberg's theorem can be slightly extended. What is a join–indecomposable spherical set? There are just two types.

Type I: $S \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $|S| = d+1$, $\operatorname{rank}(S) = d$ and the center O of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ lies in the interior of $\operatorname{conv}(S)$.

Type II: $S \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $|S| = d$, $\operatorname{rank}(S) = d-1$ and $O \notin \operatorname{aff}(S)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let S be as in Theorem 4.1. Then $S = S_1 * \ldots * S_m$, where S_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$ are of Type I and all other S_i are of Type II.*

4.2 Join of spherical two–distance sets

Definition 4.2. *We say that a two–distance set S in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a J–spherical two–distance set (JSTD) if S lies in the unit sphere centered at the origin 0 and $a = \sqrt{2}$. For this S the second distance b will be denoted $b(S)$.*

The next two lemmas immediately follow from definitions.

Lemma 4.2. *Let S_1 and S_2 be spherical two-distance sets with the same distances a and $b \geq a$. Let R_i denote the circumradius of S_i. Then (1) the join $S_1 * S_2$ is spherical if $R_1 = R_2$ and (2) the join is a two–distance set only if $R_1^2 + R_2^2 = a^2$ or $R_1^2 + R_2^2 = b^2$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let S_1 and S_2 be JSTD sets with $b(S_1) = b(S_2)$. Then the join $S_1 * S_2$ is a JSTD set.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let for sets X_1 and X_2 in $\mathbb{R}^d$ there is positive ρ such that $\operatorname{dist}(p_1, p_2) = \rho$ for all points $p_1 \in X_1$, $p_2 \in X_2$. Then both X_i are spherical sets and the affine spans $\operatorname{aff}(X_i)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are orthogonal each other. If additionally $\operatorname{rank}(X_1 \cup 0) + \operatorname{rank}(X_2 \cup 0) = \operatorname{rank}(X_1 \cup X_2 \cup 0)$, then $X_1 \cup X_2 = X_1 * X_2$, where 0 denote the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. 1. If $p \in X_1$, then by assumption X_2 lies on a sphere $S_\rho(p)$ of radius ρ and centered at p. Therefore, X_2 belongs to a sphere that is the intersection of all $S_\rho(p)$, where $p \in X_1$.
2. Let $p_1, p_2 \in X_1$ and $q_1, q_2 \in X_2$. Since in the tetrahedron $p_1p_2q_1q_2$ four sides p_iq_j have the same length ρ, the edges p_1p_2 and q_1q_2 are orthogonal. That implies the orthogonality of the affine spans $\operatorname{aff}(X_1)$ and $\operatorname{aff}(X_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$.
3. Let $L_i := \operatorname{aff}(X_i \cup 0)$. Then $\dim L_i = \operatorname{rank}(X_i \cup 0)$. By assumption $L_1 \cap L_2 = 0$. Thus, the orthogonality of $\operatorname{aff}(X_i)$ yields $X_1 \cup X_2 = X_1 * X_2$. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let S_1 and S_2 be JSTD sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $S := S_1 \cup S_2$ is a JSTD set and $S = S_1 * S_2$ if and only if (1) $\operatorname{dist}(p_1, p_2)$ are the same for all points $p_1 \in S_1$, $p_2 \in S_2$; (2) $\operatorname{rank}(S \cup 0) = \operatorname{rank}(S_1 \cup 0) + \operatorname{rank}(S_2 \cup 0)$ and (3) $b(S_1) = b(S_2)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, (1) and (2) imply that $S = S_1 * S_2$. Since $R_1 = R_2 = 1$, from Lemma 4.2 we have $\operatorname{dist}(p_1, p_2) = \sqrt{2}$. Finally, Lemma 4.3 yields that S is JSDT. □

4.3 Kuperberg type theorem for two–distance sets

Definition 4.3. *Let S be a two-distance set. We say that S is J–prime if S is indecomposable with respect to the join.*

It is easy to see that J–prime sets can be defined by another way.

Proposition 4.1. *Let S be a two–distance set. Let $G = \Gamma(S)$. Then S is J–prime if and only if the graph complement $\bar{G}$ is a connected graph.*

From Theorem 4.2 we know that any J–prime set is Type I or Type II. If S is of Type I in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then S is a JSTD of rank d and cardinality $d+1$. So if we take $G = \Gamma(S)$, then we obtain $S = W_G$. Note that an inequality $\beta_*(G) < \sqrt{\tau_1(G)}$ implies that $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = d$, where G is a graph on $d+1$ vertices. We proved the following fact.

Lemma 4.5. *Let S be a J–prime JSTD set of Type I. Then $b(S) = \beta_*(G) < \sqrt{\tau_1(G)}$, where $G := \Gamma(S)$.*

If S is of Type II in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then S is a JSDT set of cardinality d. For instance, if $S = \{p, q\}$ is a two–points set in the unit circle with $\sqrt{2} < b = \mathrm{dist}(p, q) < 2$, then S is J–simple of Type II. So in this case the second distance b is not fixed and lies in some open interval.

Let S be a JSDT set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of cardinality $d+k$, where $2 \le k \le d$. For this S Theorem 4.2 states that there are exactly k subsets S_i of Type I. Now if we take S_1 of Type I and S_2 of Type 2 then $S_1 * S_2$ is a JSDT set. From Lemma 4.3 follows that $b(S_1) = b(S_2)$. Moreover, for S_2 we have an extra constraint: this set lies in a $d-2$–sphere of radius $R < 1$.

Lemma 4.6. *A JSTD set S in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d = |S| - 2$, is a J–prime set of Type II only if $b(S) < \beta_*(G) < \sqrt{\tau_1(G)}$, where $G := \Gamma(S)$.*

Proof. The assumption $b(S) < \beta_*(G)$ is equivalent to $R < 1$, where R is the circumradius of S. By Theorem 3.1, there is a unique b such that a two–distance set S with $a = \sqrt{2}$ lies in a sphere of radius R. □

Theorem 4.2 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let S, $|S| = d+k$, $k \ge 1$, be a two–distance set in the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the minimum distance $a = \sqrt{2}$. Then $S = S_1 * \ldots * S_m$ such that all subsets S_i are J–prime and there are exactly k subsets of Type I.*

Recall that the *join* $G = G_1 + G_2$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 with disjoint point sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the edges joining V_1 and V_2.

The following theorem is a version of Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a graph on n vertices. Let $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - k \le n - 2$. Then $G = G_1 + \ldots + G_m$, where all G_i are indecomposable with respect to the join and*

$$\beta_*(G) = \beta_*(G_1) = \ldots = \beta_*(G_k) < \beta_*(G_{k+1}) \le \ldots \le \beta_*(G_m).$$

Proof. Let S be a J–spherical representation of G. Then S satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.4. So then $S = S_1 * \ldots * S_m$. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ be sets of Type I. Thus subgraphs $G_i := \Gamma(S_i)$ are as required. □

5 Representation numbers of the join of graphs

In this section we show that Theorem 4.5 can be extended.

Definition 5.1. *We say that G on n vertices is J–simple if* $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - 1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $G := G_1 + \ldots + G_m$. Suppose all G_i are J–simple and*

$$\beta_*(G_1) = \ldots = \beta_*(G_k) < \beta_*(G_{k+1}) \leq \ldots \leq \beta_*(G_m).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = \mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - k, \;\; \mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - \max(k, 2),$$

where n denote the number of vertices of G.

Proof. Let $S_i := W_{G_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots k$. For $i > k$, denote by S_i a sets of Type II with $\Gamma(S_i) = G_i$ and $b(S_i) = \beta_*(G_1)$. Then $S = S_1 * \ldots * S_m$ be a J–spherical representation of G. It is clear that $\mathrm{rank}(S) = n - k$.

If $k \geq 2$, then $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) \leq \mathrm{rank}(S) \leq n - 2$. In this case Lemma 4.2, Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 yield $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = \mathrm{Srep}(G) = \mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - k$.

Now consider the case $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - 1$ or equivalently $k = 1$. Let $H := G_2 + \ldots + G_m$. Note that $\beta_*(G_1) < \beta_*(H) = \beta_*(G_2)$.

Since G is not a disjoint union of cliques, $\mathrm{Erep}(G) \leq n - 2$. Therefore, a Euclidean representation $f : G = G_1 + H \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ is unique. Let $X_1 := f(G_1)$ and $X_2 := f(H)$. From Lemma 4.4 follows that X_1 and X_2 are spherical orthogonal sets. Let R_i denote the circumradius of X_i. Then $R_1^2 + R_2^2 = a^2$.

First note that $R_1 \neq R_2$. In the converse case X and Y are JSTD sets and $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = \mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - 1$. That yields f is not a spherical representation and $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - 1$.

Note that $R_1 > R_2$. Indeed, it follows from the fact that $b(X_1) = b(X_2)$, but $\beta_*(G_1) < \beta_*(H)$. Since $b(X_2) < \beta_*(H)$, we have $\mathrm{rank}(X_2) = n_H - 1$, where n_H denote the number of vertices of H. Thus $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = \mathrm{rank}(X_1 \cup X_2) = n_1 - 1 + n_H - 1 = n - 2$. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let G be a complete multipartite graph $K_{n_1 \ldots n_m}$. Suppose*

$$n_1 = \ldots = n_k > n_{k+1} \geq \ldots \geq n_m.$$

Let $n := n_1 + \ldots + n_m$. Then

1. *If $k = 1$, then* $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - 2$, *otherwise* $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n - k$;
2. $\mathrm{Srep}(G) = n - k$;
3. $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = n - k$.

Proof. Note that

$$K_{n_1 \ldots n_m} = \bar{K}_{n_1} + \ldots + \bar{K}_{n_m}.$$

Since

$$\beta_*(\bar{K}_n) = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1}},$$

our assumption is equivalent to

$$\beta_*(\bar{K}_{n_1}) = \ldots = \beta_*(\bar{K}_{n_k}) < \beta_*(\bar{K}_{n_{k+1}}) \leq \ldots \leq \beta_*(\bar{K}_{n_m}).$$

Thus, this corollary follows from the obvious fact that an empty graph $\bar{K}_\ell$ is J–simple. □

6 Concluding remarks and open problems

First we consider open problems that are directly related to this paper.

6.1 Range of the circumradius $\mathcal{R}(G)$

Let $\mathcal{R}(G) < \infty$. It is not clear *what is the range of* $\mathcal{R}(G)$*?* Since for a fixed n there are finitely many graphs G this range is a countable set in the interval $[1/\sqrt{2}, \infty)$.

What is the maximum value of $\mathcal{R}(G)$*? Can* $\mathcal{R}(G)$ *be greater than 1?*

6.2 Monotonicity and convexity of the function $F_G(t)$

Lemma 3.2 proves that the function $\Phi_G(x)$ is increasing on I_G. If the circumcenter of a simplex $\Delta_G(x)$ lies in this simplex, then its circumradius and the radius of the minimum enclosing sphere are the same, i.e. $F_G(t) = \Phi_G^2(x)$, $x = \sqrt{2t}$. Therefore, under this constraint $F_G(t)$ is monotonic. Our conjecture is:

$F_G(t)$ is a monotonic increasing function for all $t \in (1, \tau_1(G))$.

Moreover, we think that

$F_G(t)$ is convex on the interval $(1, \tau_1(G))$.

6.3 The second distance $\beta_*(G)$

There are two interesting questions about $\beta_*(G)$:
(1) What is the range of $\beta_*(G)$?
(2) Can $\beta_*(G_1) = \beta_*(G_2)$ for distinct G_1 and G_2?

For the second question the answer is positive. Let σ be a collection of positive integers $n_1, \ldots, n_m$ with $m > 1$. We denote

$$|\sigma| := n_1 + \ldots + n_m.$$

Let $\bar{K}_\sigma := \bar{K}_{n_1,\dots,n_m}$, where $\bar{K}_{n_1,\dots,n_m}$ is the graph complement of the complete m–partite graph $K_{n_1,\dots,n_m}$. In other words, $\bar{K}_\sigma$ is the disjoint union of cliques of sizes $n_1,\dots,n_m$.

Einhorn and Schoenberg [9] proved that

$$\mathrm{Erep}(\bar{K}_\sigma) = |\sigma| - 1.$$

Moreover, the converse statement is also true. If for a graph G on n vertices we have $\mathrm{Erep}(G) = n-1$, then G is $\bar{K}_\sigma$ for some σ with $|\sigma| = n$.

We denote $W_\sigma := W_G$, $\beta_*(\sigma) := \beta_*(G)$, where $G = \bar{K}_\sigma$.

Let $\sigma_1 = (1,1,1)$, $\sigma_2 = (2,2)$ and $\sigma_3 = (1,4)$. Then $\beta_*(\sigma_i) = \sqrt{3}$ for $i = 1,2,3$.

Another example,

$$\sigma = (1,1,1,1,1),\ (2,2,2),\ (4,4),\ (2,8),\ (1,16).$$

For all these collections $\beta_*(\sigma) = \sqrt{5/2}$.

It is an interesting problem *to describe sets of collections σ with the same $\beta_*(\sigma)$.*

6.4 Sets of Type II

In Section 4 we consider join–indecomposable spherical sets of Type I and II. Note that if we remove a point from a J–prime set of Type I, then we obtain a set of Type II. It is not clear can we use this method to obtain all sets of Type II? In other words,

Is it true that any J–prime set of Type II is a subset of a set of Type I?

Now we consider generalizations of graph representations.

6.5 Spherical representations with $\mathcal{R}(G) \le R_0$

Let f be a spherical representation of a graph G on n vertices in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as a two distance set with $a = 1$ and $b > a$. We say that f is a *minimal spherical representations with $\mathcal{R}(G) \le R_0$* if the image $f(G)$ lies in a sphere of radius $R \le R_0$ with the smallest d. If $G \ne K_n$, then Theorem 3.1 yields the existence of such representations with $d \le n-1$. We denote the minimum dimension d by $\mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0)$.

Note that $\mathrm{Srep}(G, 1/\sqrt{2}) = \mathrm{Jrep}(G)$. It is easy to see that for $R_0 \ge 1/\sqrt{2}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Jrep}(G) \ge \mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0) \ge \mathrm{Srep}(G).$$

The following theorem can be proved by the same arguments as Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $G \ne K_n$ be a graph on n vertices. Let $R_0 \ge 1/\sqrt{2}$. If $\mathcal{R}(G) \le R_0$, then*

$$\mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0) = n - \mu(G) - 1, \quad \textit{otherwise} \quad \mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0) = n - 1.$$

Since in Theorem 5.1 we have $\mathrm{Jrep}(G) = \mathrm{Srep}(G)$ this theorem also holds for $\mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0)$. It is an interesting problem *to find families of graphs G with* $\mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0) = \mathrm{Srep}(G)$.

Another interesting question is *to find the minimum R_0 such that* $\mathrm{Srep}(G, R_0) = \mathrm{Srep}(G)$ *for all G.* In particular, is it true that this equality holds for $R_0 = 1$? (See also 6.1.)

6.6 Representations of colored $E(K_n)$ as s–distance sets

First consider an equivalent definition of graph representations. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph on n vertices. Since $E(K_n) = E(G) \cup E(\bar{G})$ is a coloring of $E(K_n)$ in two colors. So we have

$$E(K_n) = E_1 \cup E_2, \quad \text{where} \quad E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset.$$

Then G is uniquely defined by the equation $E(G) = E_1$.

Let $L(e) := i$ if $e \in E_i$. Then $L : E(K_n) \to \{1, 2\}$ be a coloring of $E(K_n)$. A representation L as a two–distance set is an embedding f of t$V(K_n)$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\text{dist}(f(u), f(v))) = a_i$ for $[uv] \in E_i$. Here $a_2 \geq a_1 > 0$.

This definition can be extended for any number of colors. Let $L : E(K_n) \to \{1, \ldots, s\}$ be a coloring of the set of edges of a complete graph K_n. Then

$$E(K_n) = E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_s, \ E_i := \{e \in E(K_n) : L(e) = i\}.$$

We say that an embedding f of the vertex set of K_n into $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a *Euclidean representation of a coloring L in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as an s–distance set* if there are s positive real numbers $a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_s$ such that $\text{dist}(f(u), f(v))) = a_i$ if and only if $[uv] \in E_i$.

It is easy to extend the definitions of polynomials $C_G(t)$ and $M_G(t)$ for s–distance sets. In this case we have multivariate polynomials $C_L(t_2, \ldots, t_s)$ and $M_L(t_2, \ldots, t_s)$, where $a_1 = 1$ and $t_i = a_i^2$ for $i = 2, \ldots, s$. It is clear that a Euclidean representation of L is spherical only if $F_L(t_2, \ldots, t_s)$ is well defined, where

$$F_L(t_2, \ldots, t_s) := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_L(t_2, \ldots, t_s)}{C_L(t_2, \ldots, t_s)}.$$

We think that the Einhorn–Schoenberg theorem and several results from this paper can be generalized for representations of colorings L as s–distance sets.

6.7 Contact graph representations of G

The famous circle packing theorem (also known as the Koebe–Andreev–Thurston theorem) states that for every connected simple planar graph G there is a circle packing in the plane whose contact graph is isomorphic to G. Can these circles be of the same radius? The answer is negative. For instance, let $G = K_4$. Then there are no equal circle packings with this contact graph. However, four unit spheres can be packed in space such that their contact graph is K_4.

Now consider representations of a graph G as the contact graph of an equal spheres packing in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Equivalently, the contact graph can be defined by the following way.

Let X be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Denote

$$\psi(X) := \min_{x,y \in X} \{\text{dist}(x, y)\}, \ \text{where } x \neq y.$$

The *contact graph* $\mathrm{CG}(X)$ is a graph with vertices in X and edges (x, y), $x, y \in X$, such that $\mathrm{dist}(x, y) = \psi(X)$. In other words, $\mathrm{CG}(X)$ is the contact graph of a s packing of spheres of diameter $\psi(X)$ with centers in X.

Let a graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices has at least one edge. Let f be a Euclidean representation of vertices of G in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We say that f with minimum d is a *minimal Euclidean contact graph representation* if G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{CG}(X)$, where $X = f(V)$. If X lies in a sphere then we call f a *minimal spherical contact graph representation*.

There are several combinatorial properties of contact graphs. For instance, the degree of any vertex of $\mathrm{CG}(X)$, $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, is not exceed the kissing number k_d. For spherical contact graph representations in $\mathbb{S}^2$ this degree is not greater than five. Using this and other properties of $\mathrm{CG}(X)$ we enumerated spherical irreducible contact graphs for $n \leq 11$ [16, 17].

It is an interesting problem to *find minimal dimensions of Euclidean and spherical contact graph representations of graphs G.*

References

[1] A. V. Akopyan and A. S. Tarasov. A constructive proof of Kirszbraun's theorem. *Mathematical Notes*, 84:5, 725–728, 2008.

[2] V. Alexandrov. An analogue of a theorem of van der Waerden, and its application to two–distance preserving mappings. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, 72(2):252–257, 2016.

[3] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, and D. Stanton. An upper bound for the cardinality of an s-distance subset in real euclidean space, ii. *Combinatorica*, 3(2):147–152, 1983.

[4] A. Barg and O. R. Musin, Codes in spherical caps. *Advances in Math. of Communication,* 1(1): 131–149, 2007.

[5] A. Barg and W. H. Yu. New bounds for spherical two-distance sets. *Experimental Math.*, 22(2):187–194, 2013.

[6] M. Berger. Geometry I. *Universitext. Springer-Verlag,* Berlin, 1987.

[7] A. Blokhuis. *Few-Distance Sets*, volume 7 of *CWI Tracts*. CWI, 1984.

[8] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel. Spherical codes and designs. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 6(3):363–388, 1977.

[9] S. J. Einhorn and I. J. Schoenberg. On euclidean sets having only two distances between points. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 69=Indag. Math.*, 28:479–488, 489–504, 1966.

[10] A. Glazyrin and W.–H. Yu, Upper bounds for s–distance sets and equiangular lines, preprint available at arXiv:1611.09479

[11] P. Lisoněk. New maximal two-distance sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 77(2):318–338, 1997.

[12] M. D. Kirszbraun. Über die zusammenziehende und Lipschitzsche Transformationen. *Fund. Math.,* 22:77–108, 1934.

[13] W. Kuperberg. Optimal arrangements in packing congruent balls in a spherical container. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 37:2 (2007), 205–212.

[14] O. R. Musin. The kissing number in four dimensions. *Ann. of Math.,* 168:1 (2008), 1–32.

[15] O. R. Musin. Spherical two-distance sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 116(4):988–995, 2009.

[16] O. R. Musin and A. S. Tarasov, Enumeration of irreducible contact graphs on the sphere. *J. Math. Sci.,* 203:6 (2014), 837–850

[17] O. R. Musin and A. S. Tarasov, Extreme problems of circle packings on a sphere and irreducible contact graphs. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.,* 288 (2015), 117–131

[18] H. Nozaki, M. Shinohara. A geometrical characterization of strongly regular graphs. *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, 437(3): 2587–2600, 2012.

[19] R.A. Rankin, The closest packing of spherical caps in n dimensions. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.,* 2: 139–144, 1955.

[20] A. Roy. Minimal Euclidean representation of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 310(4): 727–733, 2010.

[21] W.–H. Yu, New bounds for equiangular lines and spherical two–distance sets, preprint available at arXiv:1609.01036.

O. R. Musin, School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, One West University Boulevard, Brownsville, TX, 78520.

E-mail address: oleg.musin@utrgv.edu